A few years ago I attended a breakfast meeting for local Baptist ministers. Being a new pastor, I looked forward to meeting the men and engaging in fruitful discussion. In the midst of the friendly discourse the topic of church discipline emerged, and the tone of the conversation grew pointed. One older, retired pastor said, in essence, that church discipline should not be exercised today since it is divisive and leads to legalism. He was speaking of corrective church discipline, as addressed in other articles in this journal, and for him it had no place in contemporary ecclesiastical life.

Unfortunately, this type of hostile attitude towards church discipline is pervasive in North American Protestant churches. It is an attitude that reveals, among other things, a poor ecclesiology, a pitiful grasp of Scripture and the positive unifying purpose of corrective discipline taught therein, and a propensity to view church discipline in an extremely narrow fashion. This brief article is devoted to addressing this last problem—the propensity to view church discipline narrowly. My breakfast acquaintance only related church discipline to the subject of correction. He forgot that the church’s task of discipline also involves providing a framework for spiritual formation. This forgotten side of discipline must also be reestablished in the churches, and such a reformation may prove to be the key step in helping churches extol the virtue of biblical discipline.

Church Discipline: A Binary Concept

To understand church discipline properly, we must first broaden our horizon concerning the subject. Church discipline is, in actuality, a binary concept rooted in Scripture that seeks to accomplish at least four goals. These goals are: (1) to build a regenerate church membership; (2) to mature believers in the faith; (3) to strengthen the church for evangelism and the engagement of culture; and (4) to protect the church from inner decay.

Writers who have addressed the subject from this broader perspective have thus spoken of church discipline by using two headings. Reformative or corrective church discipline refers to discipline administered for the purpose of guiding an erring believer away from sin. If the believer willfully persists in sin, he should be removed from the church to protect the body from his detrimental influence. The goal of such discipline, even if removal becomes necessary, remains restorative; it is never punitive. Formative church discipline is broader than corrective discipline and refers to the nurture of believers through instruction and their shared life in the body. Findley Edge defines formative church discipline as follows:

Formative church discipline is that process of teaching and training by which the Christian is increasingly formed in the image of Christ... In Christian nurture disciples subject themselves to the discipline of Christ. This process is lifelong in
scope and is not optional in nature. The purpose of this discipline is to equip individual [sic] to fulfill the missions for which they were called as Christians. Formative discipline is exercised in the Christian community as the members express genuine concern for one another and become dynamically involved with one another in deep interpersonal relationships, recognizing that all are held accountable by God for their stewardship of life. Its purpose is to enlighten, encourage, stimulate, support, and sustain one another and the group in the discipline under which they live and in the fulfillment of their divine mission.

In formative discipline both the individual and the church have a responsibility. The individual has a responsibility to enter into the transforming relationship with Christ in which the motive—the impelling desire—for growth is present. The church does not supply the individual with the desire to grow, but the church is responsible for seeking to provide those conditions in which the individual is encouraged to enter into a genuine encounter with Christ.²

Formative church discipline is related to the overall evangelism/discipleship ministry of the church. The church is called to make disciples, and that command encompasses not only proclaiming the gospel and leading persons to a commitment to Jesus Christ, but also baptizing them and teaching them to observe all things commanded by Christ, with a view toward their becoming fruit-bearing, reproducing disciples (Matt 28:18-20).³ To be a disciple of Jesus entails discipline, the words are related etymologically. Those who begin to follow Christ enter into a life of disciplined learning (Matt 11:28-30). Formative discipline relates to the educational framework established by the church to aid believers in this process of learning and maturation. When, therefore, the topic of church discipline is discussed it should be done within this wholistic framework. Proper church discipline is both formative and reformatory.

**Two Areas of Implementation**

In order to implement formative discipline effectively, churches must give attention to two areas. First, churches must incorporate formative discipline into the reception of new members and the initiation of new believers into the visible body of Christ. Second, attention must be given to building formative discipline into the overall, continuing discipleship/teaching ministry of the church.

**Discipline at the Door**

Events of recent decades have sparked renewed interest in implementing formative discipline at the front door of the church. In short, a growing number of congregations in the free church tradition,⁴ built through voluntary church membership, have become alarmed over the fact that large numbers of the volunteers are nowhere to be found. Nominality is rampant and the churches are plagued with an immense “backdoor” problem.⁵ Some churches and denominations have sought to address this problem by giving greater attention to the reception of applicants into the church membership. Such has been the case within the largest Protestant group in North America, the Southern Baptist Convention.⁶

Leaders in the SBC became increasingly alarmed at the backdoor problem in the churches in the late 1940s and early 1950s. The era was one of marked advance for the denomination as evidenced by the fact that during the decade 1945-1955, the convention’s churches grew five times more rapidly than the growth rate of the United States.⁷ On the other hand, the
convention’s churches found themselves with massive numbers of nonresident and inactive members. Denominational leaders began to sound the alarm, and as a result, several actions were taken in the following decades. Most of those actions centered on formative discipline.

The convention encouraged churches to offer training to all new members after they joined or were baptized. For approximately a decade, the convention promoted a “pastor’s class,” which utilized a brief book for the pastors to teach new members. Then, from around 1965-1977, the convention promoted age-graded new church member training and produced two sets of material that could be taught in either four or thirteen weeks. From the late 1970s forward, more attention was given to working with individuals to help them establish spiritual disciplines in the first fifty days of their new life in Christ. Material was produced and strategies were developed to do a better job with persons at the point of commitment. Churches were encouraged to utilize material to train laypersons to serve as decision counselors. These counselors would take persons responding to a public invitation to a separate room in order to give them individual attention. Information was also made available to help churches train sponsors to work with new members for several weeks as they were assimilated into a local body of believers.

In the 1980s and early 90s, a comprehensive plan was developed that sought to utilize the various materials developed by the convention for working with new believers and other new members. The ultimate impact of revivalism on SBC churches manifested itself in worship services and the time of commitment at the conclusion of the sermon. In most SBC congregations, a call for immediate response concluded the message. Persons who felt the need to respond or who desired to make a commitment “walked the aisle” and were greeted by the pastor. While not universally true, the person was usually counseled at the front of the auditorium, and if the pastor felt comfortable with their commitment, he would immediately present them to the congregation who would vote affirmatively to accept the candidate into membership. Baptism would follow for those professing faith in Christ, usually that evening or on the following Sunday. After accep-
tance into membership, the church, if it had training available, would encourage the new member to attend a class.\footnote{17}

This approach precluded effective formative discipline since initiates were received into membership without even knowing the expectations for church membership. Such expectations were often spelled out in church constitutions and covenants, but these were no longer consulted, and in most cases new members were unaware of their contents. Weak commitment to the church followed, since little commitment was expected upon entering the fold. This method also made it certain that the churches received many unregenerate people into membership. Despite the assurances of some denominational leaders, who stressed that careful attention was bestowed on applicants for membership, Southern Baptist practice was, in fact, shoddy. Individuals were baptized and received into membership on a verbal profession that often amounted to nothing more than nodding in the affirmative when the pastor quizzed them, asking them if they had “received Jesus into their hearts.”\footnote{18}

There were leaders in SBC life who criticized these practices. J. W. MacGorman, a professor at Southwestern Seminary, hurled some of the more colorful barbs. He referred to this practice as “credobaptism.” “Credobaptism,” rooted in the Latin word “credo,” meaning I believe, was, according to MacGorman, the practice of baptizing people upon the simple profession “I believe.”\footnote{19} His contention was that through this practice, many unregenerate people were being added to the church rolls. No one should have been surprised, therefore, when these individuals quickly lost interest in the church or made no attempt to submit themselves to new member training.\footnote{20}

Unfortunately, this approach continues to be dominant in SBC churches. Readers can perhaps take heart, however, in the fact that a transition is apparently underway towards a model that takes greater care with persons applying for membership or responding to an invitation to become followers of Christ.\footnote{21} Churches are recovering the forgotten side of church discipline, and whether they are aware of it or not, they are returning to a model with deep roots in church history. Again, within the Southern Baptist tradition, we find this model of higher requirement to be more consistent with historic Baptist ecclesiology.

Theologian James Leo Garrett joined a chorus of other voices in the past decades and raised concerns about how Southern Baptists were receiving new people into the churches. He noted that in the past, Baptists gave meticulous attention to their work with new believers coming into the church. He argued that, “Historically speaking, Anabaptist and early Baptist concern for the regeneracy of particular churches was focused upon two principal aspects of church life, namely, the admission of members to the congregation and the proper maintenance of the congregational membership.”\footnote{22} Garrett brought forth sources to demonstrate his contention, the most noteworthy of which was the discipline adopted in 1773 by the Charleston Baptist Association—the first Baptist association in the south.\footnote{23}

This document focused, in part, upon the reception of church members and contended that care and discretion should be exercised in this matter. In short, only those who evidenced regeneration were to be admitted. This requirement is clearly seen in statements such as, “None is fit
material of a gospel church without having first experienced an entire change of nature,” and “Let those look to it who make the Church of Christ a harlot by opening the door of admission so wide as to permit unbelievers, unconverted, and graceless persons to crowd into it without control.” Churches were encouraged, in addition to this issue of regeneration, to pay attention to the candidate’s grasp of essential doctrines and character formation. Thus we find in chapter three of the discipline, regarding candidates for membership:

They should be persons of some competent knowledge of divine and spiritual things, who have not only knowledge of themselves, of their lost state by nature, and of the way of salvation by Christ, but have some degree of knowledge of God in his nature, perfection, and works; of Christ in his person as the Son of God, of his proper deity, of his incarnation, and of his offices as prophet, priest, and king; of justification by his righteousness, pardon by his blood, satisfaction by his sacrifice, and his prevalent intercession of the Spirit of God—his person, offices, and operations; and of the important truths of the gospel and the doctrines of grace. Or how otherwise should the church be the pillar and ground of truth?

Their lives and conversations ought to be such as “becometh the gospel of Christ” (Phil. 1:27); that is, holy, just, and upright (Psalm 15:1-2); if their practice contradicts their profession they are not to be admitted to church membership. Holiness is becoming the Lord’s house forever (Psalm 93:5).

These ought to be truly baptized in water, i.e., by immersion, upon a profession of their faith, agreeable to the ancient practice of John the Baptist and the apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ (Matt. 3:6; John 3:23; Rom. 6:4; Acts 8: 36-38). Baptists focused upon these three issues (regeneration attested to by clear testimony, foundational doctrinal knowledge, and character formation) as they worked with new believers and other applicants for church membership. While certainly this approach was not ubiquitously practiced, and only partially employed in some locales, it reflected the ideal in the minds of the majority of Baptists. Persons applying for membership were expected to possess a testimony concerning how they had been converted. They were expected to have some grasp of Christian doctrine and to be striving after holiness.

Baptist literature is replete with examples of this approach. Ample support was available to aid Baptists in this task and to reinforce the concept of a regenerate church membership. Baptists produced church manuals or disciplines that were available to the churches. These disciplines were, according to Bobby Dale Compton, “treatises on church order which concisely discuss the nature of the church, its membership, ministry, and worship. They seek to provide a better understanding of Baptist polity and practice to lead churches in orderly conduct.” These disciplines, like the one adopted by the Charleston Baptist Association, encouraged churches to retain high requirements for persons entering the church.

Baptists also utilized catechisms to instruct both children and adults. These catechisms were used specifically in evangelism and to train children. While not used specifically to train converts awaiting baptism as in the early church, catechisms came to serve a similar purpose of training children.

Church covenants were also used to foster formative discipline in Baptist life.
Whereas church confessions of faith recorded the doctrines held dear by the churches, covenants focused more upon the ethical expectations of the congregations and what they required of the members. Those being admitted into the churches were often required to sign the covenant, pledging to strive towards the ideals expressed therein.

We can conclude that churches with high requirements are returning to the practices of their forefathers. Formative discipline began at the door of the church with high requirements and expectations. From the small churches on the American frontier to the great churches with deep roots in Baptist history, formative discipline was a key component in the process of working with new believers. Baptists found this method beneficial for both the convert and the church. This sentiment was clearly expressed in the discipline followed by the Metropolitan Tabernacle, pastored by Charles Haddon Spurgeon. In the late nineteenth century, this church was perhaps the most influential congregation in the world, and its shadow is cast to this day. Charles’ brother J. A. Spurgeon who oversaw the daily ministry of the megachurch recorded their approach. He wrote:

All persons anxious to join our church are requested to apply personally upon any Wednesday evening, between six and nine o’clock, to the elders, two or more of whom attend in rotation every week for the purpose of seeing enquirers. When satisfied, the case is entered by the elder in one of a set of books provided for the purpose, and a card is given bearing a corresponding number to the page of the book in which particulars of the candidate’s experience are recorded. Once a month, or oftener when required, the junior pastor appoints a day to see the persons thus approved of by the elders. If the pastor is satisfied, he nominates an elder or church member as visitor, and at the next church meeting asks the church to send him to enquire as to the moral character and repute of the candidate. If the visitor be satisfied he requests the candidate to attend with him at the following or next convenient church meeting, to come before the church and reply to such questions as may be put from the chair, mainly with a view to elicit expressions of his trust in the Lord Jesus, and the hope of salvation through his blood, and any such facts of his spiritual history as may convince the church of the genuineness of the case. We have found this a means of grace and a rich blessing. None need apprehend that modesty is outraged, or timidity appalled by the test thus applied. We have never yet found it tend to keep members out of our midst, while we have known it of service of detecting a mistake or satisfying a doubt previously entertained. We deny that it keeps away any worth having. Surely if their Christianity cannot stand before a body of believers and speak amongst loving sympathising hearts it is as well to ask if it be the cross-bearing public confessing faith of the Bible? This is no matter of flesh and blood, but of faith and grace, and we should be sorry to give place to the weakness and shrinking of the flesh, so as to insult the omnipotence of grace, by deeming it unable to endure so much as the telling in the gates of Zion what great things God has done for the soul.

Contemporary churches desiring to implement formative discipline at the front door of the church can take heart in the fact that they are returning to the faith of their forefathers. May more find their way home in this area of ecclesiastical life.

**Teaching Them To Observe All Things**

As noted earlier in this article, formative discipline encompasses the entire
scope of Christian discipleship. It is, as Edge defined, a process that is “lifelong in scope and is not optional in nature.” In essence, a church has an obligation to order its corporate life so that it teaches believers to observe all things commanded by Jesus (Matt 28:20). Unfortunately, many congregations give little thought to this matter. If it exists at all in the church’s corporate life, discipleship is implemented haphazardly and with little expectation for member involvement. Formative discipline needs to be applied in the life of the church in a systematic fashion so that a culture is created that fosters spiritual formation. This culture will be one in which spiritual growth can naturally occur within the planned corporate life of the congregation. Three areas thus deserve careful attention.

First, attention needs to be given to the weekly preaching ministry. The pastor must strive to preach the whole counsel of God. The most effective way to accomplish this task is through expository preaching through books of the Bible. Over time, therefore, the pastor should attempt to preach through every book of the Bible. The pastor should also preach in a manner that helps the congregation grasp the larger picture of the biblical narrative. Moreover, he must preach in a way that clarifies and explains the categories of systematic theology. His preaching must also apply the teaching to the contemporary situation of the listeners so that they can apply what they are taught, thus finding ownership of their evangelical faith.

Second, attention should be given to the entire teaching ministry of the church. The best models feature two essential ingredients. First is that members are required or expected to be involved in a small group that is structured to aid in spiritual maturation. Second, a model is employed that provides incentives for the believer to press forward in their walk with Christ. In these models, classes are also offered that are sequenced to reflect further steps in discipleship.

Third, churches should deliberately think through their corporate existence. They must seek to build genuine Christian community where believers can “spur one another on to love and good deeds” (Heb 10:23), and where they can teach and encourage one another in the midst of a loving community. At this point, corrective church discipline enters to complete the picture of church discipline. Not only should it be restorative, its goal should be for the community to help the erring brother or sister to grow through the process. Further, they simply should not tolerate members who are inactive or non-resident. How can the church fulfill its call to teach disciples to obey all things commanded when they are nowhere to be found?

While one can always find something to criticize in someone else’s model, churches that are seeking to move toward the ideals expressed in this article should be commended and emulated in a broad sense.

**Areas of Concern**

Pastors who desire to lead their congregations to employ a model rooted in formative discipline should be prepared to encounter three objections. One objection will be the fear that high requirements will drive people away. Actually, the evidence argues to the contrary. High requirements actually draw people, and in the long run will be a great aid to growth.
A second objection will arise from some who have experienced salvation through revivalism. For them, walking the aisle is a rite of passage, and they see this act as one’s public profession of faith. While some minds will not be changed, congregations, moved by the large numbers of inactive people on their rolls, will perhaps find the courage to shift when informed from history and educated through the Word of God. Historically, as demonstrated in this brief article, some of the methods of revivalism are a deviation from historic practice. Congregations should be informed about how their forefathers worked with those entering the local church. Further, they can be taught that in the believer’s church tradition, baptism is the place where one publicly declares his or her faith in Christ and enters into the visible community of faith.40 Some congregations have introduced changes by addition rather than subtraction. They have kept the invitation approach, but they have added ways people can respond, such as completing a decision or commitment card in response to the message. Someone then contacts the person for spiritual counsel and to inform them of the subsequent steps to take.41

The third and strongest objection will come from those who oppose any waiting period or training before baptism. The objection will flow from the contention that in the New Testament, baptism appears to have been performed immediately upon profession of faith. Thus, to delay or to require training before baptism is to violate the Word of God. Through the years various responses have been offered to this objection. One offered by Findley Edge was to have a two-tiered membership. He wrote, “‘Professing members’ would be those who have been received on the basis of their ‘profession of faith.’ ‘Full members’ would have to demonstrate the reality of their profession by ‘credible evidence.’” Others have suggested that baptism be viewed as a universal church ordinance and administered immediately upon profession, yet separated from church membership altogether. In my mind, both approaches are unnecessary.

The evidence is clear that the pattern followed in the Bible was one that did administer baptism relatively quickly.43 The question we must raise, however, is did this practice continue, even in the New Testament era, as Christian patterns of worship became more established, and they gathered on the Lord’s Day (Rev. 1:10; 1 Cor. 16.2)? On this point, the New Testament appears to be silent. While Stein gives the scenario of one being converted and baptized on the same day in response to a sermon, were not individuals led to Christ in other ways and on other days besides Sunday?44 Were these individuals baptized right away when the church was not gathered, since, evidently, the pattern of daily gatherings in Acts passed away?45 It would seem that perhaps a separation developed out of necessity in the conversion process, if baptism was to be utilized as a sign of initiation into the community. Further, we do know that by 100 A. D. baptism was not administered right away but was preceded by a period of training.46

There is no easy answer to this issue. Certainly the New Testament knows nothing of unbaptized believers, and we are commanded to baptize and teach them. If we baptize quickly upon unverified profession, we fulfill neither command since most would exit out the back almost as quickly as they came through the front. I
would gingerly put forth the contention, being ready to stand corrected, that while the pattern in the New Testament was to baptize quickly, this approach is not prescribed in the New Testament. While baptism should be administered soon after conversion, I do not find preparing a person for baptism over a period of a few weeks unbiblical. On the other hand, baptizing persons simply upon an unverified profession is unbiblical. There should be enough time between his or her “Lord, Lord” and baptism to see if there is evidence of genuine repentance. In most Baptist churches we wait at least a week before we baptize persons upon conversion, and I do not believe that we are in violation of the New Testament. If we allow ourselves to wait a week, is there some theological prohibition in waiting a bit more to see if this person truly desires to be initiated into the community of believers? Could we not present their baptism as the final initiation in their new walk with Christ, and help them prepare for a glorious time in which they share their testimony and what they have been learning since they started their journey with Jesus? My answers are obvious.

The Choice Before Us

This article has sought to set forth the necessity of congregations to return to a model that employs formative church discipline. It has shown that clear precedent is found for this practice in the history of the believer’s church. The article has further exposed the problem created through lax evangelistic and discipleship practices. Churches can continue to function in these unhealthy patterns or they can return to the practices of their forefathers and build congregations that are unified in doctrine, purpose, and ethical vision. If they will return, these churches will retain more people and have a greater impact upon the world.

Findley Edge voiced the decision that lies before the churches some years ago. His words bear repeating as we enter a new millennium.

The churches today face a difficult question. Shall they continue the relatively easy type of religion which can be popular and thus appeal to the masses; or shall they submit themselves to the difficult and radical element of discipline and self-denial which was characteristic of the New Testament faith? Since the masses tend to avoid suffering, this way cannot be popular. The present generation has grown up in this popular, easy religion. Because this is all the religion they know, they tend to feel that this is what religion ought to be. But in more thoughtful moments there comes the haunting and disturbing thought that perhaps—just perhaps—the difficult way, the way of radical change, may be the only way to power, the only way to vital experiential religion.

Thus, the church today is called upon to go through the painful process of re-evaluating herself—her essential nature, her ministry and mission in the modern world. Because of the difficulties involved these changes will come about only when, and if, the leadership of the church comes to have a deeper and clearer understanding of what the church is and what the church should be about in today’s world.

That choice still lies before churches and leaders today. The question is what will you the reader choose to do with it?
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